



![](_page_2_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Outline

- Introduction and motivation
- Descriptions of satellite instruments
- Outstanding spectroscopic needs for atmospheric pollution/air quality measurements
- •O<sub>3</sub> profiles and tropospheric O<sub>3</sub>
- NO<sub>2</sub>: Inventories of nitrogen oxide emissions
- HCHO and CHOCHO: Volatile organic compound inventories
- BrO: destruction of tropospheric ozone in the polar spring, emissions from salt lakes and volcanoes
- Conclusions and future directions

![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Target tropospheric gases are O<sub>3</sub>, HCHO, CHO-CHO, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, BrO.
- Our aims are:

TAS

- 1. To retrieve tropospheric gases from GOME, SCIAMACHY, OMI, and future UV/visible/IR satellite instruments (*e.g.*, OMPS).
- 2. To perform geophysical process studies with the results.
- 3. To develop capability for air quality forecasts.
- Successful retrieval involves detailed development of algorithm physics coupled with chemistry and transport modeling and multiple-scattering radiative transfer calculations.

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_1.jpeg)

### GOME/SCIAMACHY/OMI/GOME-2

Instrument	Detector	Spectral Coverage [nm]	Spectral Resolution [nm]	Ground Pixel Size [km²]	Global Coverage
GOME (1995)	Linear array	240-790	0.2-0.4	40×320 (40×80 zoom)	3 days
SCIAMACHY (2002)	Linear array	240-2380	0.2-1.5	30×30 30×60 30 ×90 30×120 30× 240 (depending on product)	6 days
OMI (2004)	2 -D CCD	270-500	0.42-0.63	15×30 - 42×162 (depending on swath position)	daily
GOME-2 (2006?)	Linear array	240-790	0.24-0.53	40×40 (40×80 wide- swath, 40×10 zoom)	1.5 to 3 days

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Major Spectroscopic Needs For Pollution Measurements

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

Gas/ Reference	Spectral Coverage [nm]	Spectral Resolution [nm]	Need
0 <sub>3</sub>	250-1000 + 9.6 μm IR	<0.01 (FTS)	FTS measurements over the range of stratospheric and tropospheric temperatures; simultaneous IR measurements @ 9.6 μm
Solar Irradiance	250-1000	<0.01 (FTS)	FTS extrasolar measurements
нсно	300-365	<0.01 (FTS)	FTS measurements over range of tropospheric temperatures; resolve ~15% discrepancy in intensities; IR
SO <sub>2</sub>	290-327	<0.01 (FTS)	FTS measurements over the range of tropospheric temperatures
02-02	330-460	<0.1	Improved intensities and wavelength calibration; trace gas fitting and cloud products / cloud correction
NO <sub>2</sub> , CHOCHO, CO	Visible, IR		In reasonable shape

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Optical Depths for Typical GOME Measurement Geometry**

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

GOME Earth albedo spectra, clear and cloudy

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

Frustra fit per plura, quod fieri potest per pauciora. Essentia non sunt multiplicada praeter necessitatem.

- William of Occam

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Fitting trace species (KURUCZ, FURENLID, BRACET, AND TESTERMAN 1984)

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

GOME BrO fitting for the FIRS-2 overflight on April 30, 1997. The integration time is 1.5s. The fitting precision is 4.2% and the RMS is  $2.7 \times 10^{-4}$  in optical depth. Fitting and inversion give a vertical BrO column of  $9.3 \times 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>.

#### **High resolution solar reference spectrum** VE RI KITT PEAK SOLAR FLUX ATLAS (KURUCZ, FURENLID, BRAULT, AND TESTERMAN 1984) 370 330 360 310 320 350 380 390 300 400 CA Hβ CH G BAND 0.0

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Top-of-atmosphere solar spectral irradiance

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

The high resolution solar spectral irradiance is critical in analyzing atmospheric trace gases:

- Solar lines are source of accurate wavelength calibration (±0.0003-0.0004 nm for GOME!) – Our method now used operationally on GOME, SCIAMACHY, OMI, and OMPS
- Determination of the Ring effect
- Improved knowledge of instrument slit functions
- Correction for spectral undersampling
- Photochemistry of Schumann-Runge system

#### A space-based determination would be an ideal support mission for 12+ international atmospheric missions!

- Range: 240-1000+ nm
- FWHM: 0.01 nm or better
- Ideal FTS Space Shuttle Canadian European experiment

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Ring effect correction spectrum**

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

(a) Fraunhofer reference spectrum for the NO<sub>2</sub> fitting region; (b) Fraunhofer convolved to GOME spectral resolution; (c) = (b) convolved with rotational Raman cross-sections = Ring effect scattering source per molecule; (d) Highpass filtered version of (c) / (b) = DOAS "Ring effect correction."

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

GOME BrO fitting: Relative contributions absorption by atmospheric BrO (top) and the Ring effect - the inelastic, mostly rotational Raman, part of the Rayleigh scattering – (bottom).

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

# OZONE PROFILE/ TROPOSPHERIC OZONE MEASUREMENTS FROM GOME\* (AND SCIAMACHY AND OMI AND OMPS) NADIR UV MEASUREMENTS

-75%

30°N

\*Eight-year record from GOME-1 now available!

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Orbit 71022024 60 E 70 50 50 **40** Altitude (km) 30 30 20 20 10 10 0 E 0 60 Lat. 129 Lon. -20 105 -80 41 40 120 -60 91 -40 100 20 114 0 110 **Biomass burning over Indonesia**

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

Examples of Averaging Kernels. Left: averaging kernels for an OMI and TES synthetic ozone profile retrieval for an ozone estimate at 30.5 degrees latitude. The middle and right panels show the averaging kernels for this same scene but assuming a TES and OMI sounding of this scene respectively.

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

Top: The boundary layer DOFS for the previous set of ozone profiles as would be measured by OMI (purple line), TES (orange line) and OMI plus TES (black line). The DOFS are a metric for the vertical resolution or sensitivity of ozone sounding to the true ozone. Particularly striking is the non-linear increase in boundary layer sensitivity near 34 degrees latitude. This results from the more linearly independent averaging kernels of TES and OMI for this scene. Bottom: The total DOFS for the region between the surface and 100 hPa.

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### TOP-DOWN INFORMATION FROM GOME REDUCES ERROR IN NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSION INVENTORY

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bottom-up error  $\varepsilon_a$ Mean=2.0

Top-down error  $\varepsilon_t$ Mean=2.0

$$\ln^{-2}\varepsilon = \ln^{-2}\varepsilon_a + \ln^{-2}\varepsilon_a$$

Mean=1.6

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

Regional GOME top-down (black), a priori (green), and a posteriori (red) annual  $NO_x$ emissions in TgN / year for 2000. The thin lines on top of each bar display the absolute errors. Global emissions are divided by a factor of 5.

Global partitioning of NO<sub>x</sub> sources using satellite observations: Relative roles of fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning and soil emissions, L. Jaeglé, L. Steinberger, R.V. Martin, and K. Chance, *Faraday Discuss*. 130, 407-423, 2005.

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

## VOC EMISSION INVENTORIES DERIVED FROM GOME FORMALDEHYDE MEASUREMENTS

Isoprene estimates revising emissions models • El Niño helping to explain the effects of global warming on weather • Fluid injection inducing underground seismicity

#### HCHO COLUMNS MEASURED BY GOME (JULY 1996)

Units of 10<sup>16</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>;

Uncertainty ~ 1x10<sup>16</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> (1-2 ppbv in 2-km boundary layer)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

High HCHO regions reflect VOC emissions from fires, biosphere, human activity

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **ISOPRENE EMISSION INVENTORIES, JULY1996**

GOME top-down (5.7 Tg)

#### **GEIA** (7.1 Tg)

**BEIS2 (2.6 Tg)** 

#### **SEASONALITY OF GOME HCHO COLUMNS (9/96-8/97)**

Largely reflects seasonality of isoprene emissions; general consistency with GEIA but also some notable differences

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

Reactive NMVOC emissions from East and South Asia. Upper panels: bottom-up inventories of Streets *et al.* [2003a] (anthropogenic, biomass burning) and Guenther *et al.* [2006] (biogenic). Bottom panels: emissions inferred from the GOME HCHO observations in this study. Color scales: left - anthropogenic and biomass burning; right - biogenic and total sources. (Courtesy T.-M. Fu)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

Monthly mean afternoon (13:00 to 17:00 local time) surface ozone concentrations simulated by GEOS-Chem using bottom-up inventories for NMVOCs in (a) March, (b) June, (c) September, and (d) December, 2001. (Courtesy T.-M. Fu) Difference in modeled monthly mean afternoon (13:00 to 17:00 local time) surface ozone concentrations using GOME-inferred reactive NMVOC emission versus the bottom-up inventories for (a) March, (b) June, (c) September, and (d) December, 2001. (Courtesy T.-M. Fu)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### HCHO – monthly average 08/2005

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

### OMI CHO-CHO Geometric Vertical Column for July 2005

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Widespread persistent near-surface O<sub>3</sub> depletion at northern high latitudes in spring

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

- BrO is a strong source of O<sub>3</sub> destruction in the stratosphere.
- BrO is measured globally by GOME and OMI
- Enhanced tropospheric BrO has been observed over the Arctic and Antarctic ice pack in the polar spring.

![](_page_37_Figure_6.jpeg)

Total column amount in cm<sup>-2</sup>

BrO Total Column from GOME: April 30 - May 2, 1997 (0°-90°N)

#### OMI BrO Tropospheric Shelf Ice

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

## OMI BrO Tropospheric Salt Lakes 1st Observation from Satellite

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### OMI BrO Volcanoes ... 1st Observation from Satellite

Ambrym: First satellite-based BrO observation in volcanic plumes!

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### BrO Tropospheric Volcanoes ... 1<sup>st</sup> Observation from Satellite

Ambrym Eruption: 4th February 2005, OMI Granule 02968

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

SO<sub>2</sub> courtesy of Simon Carn, UMBC

BrO

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### SO<sub>2</sub> Tropospheric Volcanoes

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

Kilauea activity, source of the VOG event in Honolulu on 9 November 2004

# Air quality forecasting

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Future instruments
  - High spatial resolution (GOME-2, OMPS)

30°N

- Geostationary pollution monitoring?

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

# ESA Global Ozone Monitoring Experimen

- Nadir-viewing UV/vis/NIR
  - 240-400 nm @ 0.2 nm
  - 400-790 nm @ 0.4 nm
- Launched April 1995
- Footprint 320 x 40 km<sup>2</sup>
- 10:30 am cross-equator time, descending node
- Global coverage in 3 days

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

# SCIAMACHY

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

- German/Dutch/Belgian Atmospheric Spectrometer
- 2002 launch on ESA Envisat
- Adds (to GOME) continuous coverage to 1700 nm, plus IR bands at 2.0 μm (CO<sub>2</sub>) and 2.4 μm (CO, N<sub>2</sub>O)
- Higher spatial resolution footprint than GOME (as good as 30 × 60 km<sup>2</sup>)
- Adds limb scattering and limited solar occultation measurements
  - Nadir-limb subtraction improves tropospheric measurements
- Data and validation are still in a preliminary stage

![](_page_47_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_11.jpeg)